DELTA PROTECTION COMMISSION

14215 RIVER ROAD P.O. BOX 530 WALNUT GROVE, CA 95690 PHONE: (916) 776-2290 FAX: (916) 776-2293

September 12, 1997

To:

Delta Protection Commission

From:

Margit Aramburu, Executive Director

Subject:

CALFED Category III Proram

Attached is current information regarding the CALFED Category III program.



Memorandum

Date:

August 25, 1997

To:

BDAC Members

From:

Kate Hansel

CALFED Bay-Delta Program

Subject: Restoration Coordination Program Update

Summary

1997 Request for Proposals (RFP) Update. CALFED received approximately 410 proposals in response to the 1997 Category III RFP. That includes 320 formal proposals requesting funding and 90 Inquiry Submittals requesting information on possible future funding. The amount requested was approximately \$630 million. A general summary of the types of proposals received was mailed to all BDAC members several weeks ago. Staff has created a detailed database to track and summarize the proposals.

Panels are being formed to conduct the evaluation and recommend selection of the proposals to the Ecosystem Roundtable and the CALFED Policy Group. Evaluation and selection information will also be provided to BDAC. Review of the funding package is expected to take place in October and November.

A two-tiered selection process has been adopted; technical review panels will score the individual proposals and an integration panel will identify funding priorities and recommend a proposal funding package. Membership of the integration and technical review panels will be balanced in terms of technical expertise and agency/non-agency representation. We are forming 10 to 15 technical review panels to handle the volume of proposals that need to be reviewed. The technical panels will score the proposals in September.

The list of Integration Panel members is attached to this memo. The panel has met in August and will continue meeting in September. The panel may establish funding priorities based on the types of proposals received (such as planning, research, implementation, education, monitoring, operation and maintenance) and on species, habitats, ecological processes, and limiting factors or stressors. Other criteria may be used, as well. **CALFED Agencies**

California

The Resources Agency Department of Fish and Game Department of Water Resources lifernia Environmental Protection Age

Federal

Environmental Protection Agency Department of the Interior Fish and Wildlife Service Bureau of Reclamation

Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service Department of Commerce

National Marine Fisheries Service

State Water Resources Control Board U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Restoration Coordination Program Update August 25, 1997 Page Two

Restoration Coordination. CALFED staff are using several approaches to improve coordination between Category III and other ecosystem restoration programs. A significant effort has been made to use the integration panel for both Category III and CVPIA prioritization and project selection. In addition, staff who are familiar with other related funding sources such as Department of Fish and Game funding programs, Four Pumps and Tracy Mitigation Agreement are on the Integration Panel. We convened a meeting on August 22 of representatives of these and other related funding programs to discuss the coordination of priority setting, project selection, project management, and other related issues.

Ecosystem Roundtable. Based on legal advice, this RFP has been conducted following State contract law which requires that all proposals remain confidential until a final selection is made. This restriction means that individual proposals cannot be distributed to the public, including the Roundtable or BDAC. Given the restriction, staff are working with the Roundtable to maximize their role in guiding the final funding package. For example, the Roundtable will be asked to respond to the priorities recommended by the Integration Panel, for guidance and advice on the final funding package in terms of the funding levels for categories of actions, and the overall funding allocated in this funding cycle. For future Category III funding cycles, CALFED has asked the Attorney General's Office to evaluate whether this program can be re-designed so that proposal selection would remain fair and objective while addressing the Roundtable's concerns on its current role in project selection.

Action - Information Item

The information provided above and at the upcoming BDAC meeting are meant to bring BDAC up-to-date on Restoration Coordination activities and to address comments regarding those activities.

Detailed Information

Staff will provide a more detailed summary of the proposals, an update on coordination activities, and an updated schedule of Restoration Coordination activities at the meeting.

Attachment

1997 Category III Integration Panel

Name Organization Expertise

Serg Birk **CVP** Water Association Fisheries, Sac River watershed

Randy Brown Department of Water Resources Fisheries, water quality

Jerry Bruns Central Valley Regional Water quality, watershed, fisheries Water Quality Control Board

Dan Castleberry US Fish and Wildlife Service CVPIA/AFRP, fisheries

Jim Frazier US Forest Service Hydrologist, upper watershed

Rod Fujita Environmental Defense Fund Fisheries, ecosystem

Bruce Herbold US EPA Fisheries, delta emphasis

Perry Herrgesell Department of Fish and Game Fisheries

Elise Holland The Bay Institute Fisheries, Delta emphasis

Diana Jacobs State Lands Commission Ecologist, river physical processes

Roy Leidy **EIP** Associates Fisheries and forestry resources. watershed tributary

Jim McKevitt US Fish and Wildlife Service CVPIA, wildlife biology

Frank Michny USBR Wildlife biology, CVPIA

Terry Mills CALFED Fisheries, ecosystem processes

Dave Paullin US Fish and Wildlife Service Migratory birds, seasonal wetlands

Tim Ramirez Tuolumne River Preservation Hydrologist, San Joaquin watershed Trust

Dudley Reiser R2 Resource Consultants Aquatic/ fisheries, PacNW exposure

Pete Rhoads **MWDSC** Aquatic/ fisheries, ecosystem

Tom Taylor Entrix Aquatic habitats/ fisheries, broad

emphasis

Dave Vogel NRS Inc. Aquatic/ fisheries, Sac River and SJ

Watershed emphasis

CALFED Bay Delta Program Category III Ecosystem Restoration Proposals Received

		Funds
	Number of	Requested (\$ in
Applicant Town	Proposals	thousands)
Applicant Type	_,	
California State Agencies (including in-state universities) Federal Agencies	71	102,398
Non-profit Organizations	52	107,675
Other Private Entities	56 55	52,621
Other Public Entities	55	27,790
Educational Institutions	2	1,216
Resource Conservation District	8	7,570
Irrigation/Water District	19	58,180
Reclamation District	9	25,514
City	12	18,837
County	11	5,343
Other	23	15,964
Joint Venture	14	48,278
	332	471,386
Project Type		
Watershed Management Planning & Implementation	24	10.426
Construction	52	10,426 116,620
Land Acquisition	26	173,513
Aquatic and Terrestrial Habitat Restoration	64	51,960
Water Quality	19	48,078
Monitoring, Assessment and Reporting	95	52,338
Research	38	15,604
Education	14	2,847
Operations and Maintenance	0	0
	000	474.000
Requested Amount (in thousands)	332	471,386
0 - 250	106	13,168
250 - 500	77	29,324
500 - 1000	60	43,071
1000 - 2000	51	78,358
2000 - 5000	11	
5000 - 10,000		38,085
10,000 - 30,000	17 10	114,054
. 91000 001000	10	155,326
Total	332	471,386

CALFED Bay-Delta Program Ecosystem Restoration Category III Proposals Received July 1997

SACRAMENTO-SAN JOAQUIN DELTA

Project Type	Total Dollar Amount (in thousands)	# of Proposals Received
	(111 1110 00001100)	
Watershed Management Planning and Implementation	0	0
Construction	22,952	12
Land Acquisition	26,550	3
Acquatic and Terrestrial Habitat Restoration	8,814	10
Water Quality	3,283	4
Monitoring, Assessment and Reporting	21,533	35
Research	4,261	11
Education	1,120	4
Operations and Maintenance	0	_0_
TOTAL SACRAMENTO-SAN JOAQUIN DELTA	88,513	79